



TITLE:

# On the irregularity of cyclic coverings of the projective plane (Analytic varieties and singularities)

AUTHOR(S):

Sakai, Fumio

---

CITATION:

Sakai, Fumio. On the irregularity of cyclic coverings of the projective plane (Analytic varieties and singularities). 数理解析研究所講究録 1992, 807: 71-80

ISSUE DATE:

1992-09

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/82958>

RIGHT:

# On the irregularity of cyclic coverings of the projective plane

Fumio Sakai  
(Preliminary Version)

## 1 Introduction

The aim of this note is to give a survey on the irregularity of cyclic coverings of the projective plane  $\mathbf{P}^2$ . Let  $f(x, y)$  be a polynomial of degree  $d$  over  $\mathbf{C}$ . Let us consider the cyclic multiple plane:

$$z^n = f(x, y).$$

Decompose  $f$  into irreducible components:  $f = f_1^{m_1} \cdots f_r^{m_r}$ . We assume that the condition:  $\text{GCD}(n, m_1, \dots, m_r) = 1$  is satisfied. This is nothing but the condition that the above surface is irreducible. We pass to the projective model. Let  $\tilde{f}(x_0, x_1, x_2)$  be the homogeneous polynomial associated to  $f$  so that  $\tilde{f}(1, x, y) = f(x, y)$ . Let  $C$  be the plane curve defined by the equation:  $\tilde{f} = 0$ . Let  $C_i$  be the irreducible component  $\tilde{f}_i = 0$ . Let  $L$  denote the infinite line:  $x_0 = 0$ . Define  $e$  to be the smallest integer with the condition:  $e \geq n/d$ . Set  $m_0 = ne - d$ . Note that  $m_0 = 0$  if and only if  $n$  divides  $d$ . Let  $W_n$  be the normalization of the following weighted hypersurface in  $\mathbf{P}(1, 1, 1, e)$ :

$$x_3^n = x_0^{m_0} \tilde{f}(x_0, x_1, x_2).$$

The covering map  $W_n \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$  ramifies over  $C$  in case  $m_0 = 0$  or over  $C \cup L$  in case  $m_0 \neq 0$ . Let  $\pi: X_n \rightarrow W_n$  be a desingularization. Let  $\varphi: X_n \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$  be the composed map.

**Definition.** The irregularity  $q(X_n)$  of  $X_n$  has three equivalent expressions:

$$q(X_n) = \dim H^1(X_n, \mathcal{O}) = \dim H^0(X_n, \Omega^1) = \frac{1}{2} \dim H^1(X_n, \mathbf{R})$$

There are four classical references on this topics: de Franchis [dF], Comessatti [C], Zariski [Z1], [Z2]. My personal motivation to this question is its application to the analysis of singular plane curves. Cf. [S].

**Proposition 1 (Easy Bound).**

$$2q(X_n) \leq \sum_{i=0}^r d_i(n - n_i) - 2(n - 1)$$

where  $n_i = \text{GCD}(n, m_i)$ ,  $d_i = \deg f_i$  and  $d_0 = 1$ . Note that  $n_0 = \text{GCD}(n, d)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma \in X_n$  be the inverse image of a general line on  $\mathbf{P}^2$ . We can easily prove that  $H^1(X_n, \mathcal{O})$  injects to  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{O})$ . The Hurwitz formula gives the genus of  $\Gamma$ .

**Corollary.**

$$2q(X_n) \leq \begin{cases} (n-1)(\sum_{i=1}^r d_i - 2) & \text{if } n|d \\ (n-1)(\sum_{i=1}^r d_i - 1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let us exhibit examples with positive irregularity. Let  $\Gamma_k \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  be a  $k$ -fold cyclic covering. Given a rational map  $\phi : \mathbf{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma_k$  is given by the equation:  $y_2^k = \prod (b_i y_0 - a_i y_1)^{\ell_i}$  (we may assume  $k | \sum \ell_i$ ) and that the map  $\phi$  is given by  $(G(x_0, x_1, x_2), H(x_0, x_1, x_2))$  where both  $G$  and  $H$  are homogeneous polynomials of degree  $\ell$ . If  $n | \ell \cdot \sum \ell_i$  and  $k | n$ , then the multiple plane  $X_n$  defined by the equation:  $x_3^n = \prod (b_i G(x) - a_i H(x))$  factors through  $\Gamma_k$ . In this case, we say that  $X_n$  *factors through a pencil*. We see that  $X_n$  has positive irregularity if  $\Gamma_k$  has positive genus.

In order to investigate the irregularity of cyclic coverings of  $\mathbf{P}^2$ , there are three approaches: (i) through the behavior of rational differential forms, cf. Esnault [E], Zuo [Z] (ii) through the action of the cyclic group  $\mathbf{Z}_n$  on the Albanese variety, cf. Khashin [K], Catanese-Ciliberto [CC] (iii) through the topology of complements of the branch curves. cf. Libgober [L], Randell [R], Kohno [Ko], Loeser-Vaqu   [LV], Dimca [D].

## 2 Differential forms

Let  $\psi : S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$  be a composition of blow-ups so that the inverse image of  $C \cup L$  has normal crossings. Write

$$\psi^*(\sum_{i=0}^r m_i C_i) = \sum \nu_j D_j.$$

Here we set  $C_0 = L$ . We understand that if  $j \leq r$ ,  $D_j$  is the strict transform of  $C_j$  and  $\nu_j = m_j$  and that for  $j > r$ ,  $D_j$  is exceptional for  $\psi$ . Since  $\psi^*(\sum_{i=0}^r m_i C_i) \in |n\psi^*\mathcal{O}(e)|$ , one can construct an  $n$ -fold covering of  $S$ , which ramifies over  $\psi^*(\sum_{i=0}^r m_i C_i)$ . Let  $W'_n$  denote its normalization. Up to birational equivalence, we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W_n & \leftarrow & W'_n & \leftarrow & X_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow \phi & \\ \mathbf{P}^2 & \leftarrow & S & & \end{array}$$

Set  $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/n}$ . The eigenspace decomposition of the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{X_n}$  has the following consequence:

**Proposition 2 (Esnault [E]).** *In this situation, we have*

$$H^0(X_n, \mathcal{O}_{X_n}^{\zeta^i}) \cong H^0(S, \mathcal{L}^{(i)-1}),$$

where  $\mathcal{L}^{(i)} = \psi^*\mathcal{O}(ie) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\sum [i\nu_j/n]D_j)$ .

As for the eigenspace decomposition of the sheaf  $\Omega^1$ , we have

**Proposition 3** ([E], [Zu]). *One has*

$$H^0(X_n, \Omega^1)^{\zeta^i} \cong H^0(S, \Omega^1(\log D(i)) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{(i)^{-1}}),$$

where  $D(i) = \sum(i\nu_j - n[i\nu_j/n])D_j$ .

**Remark.** Note that  $D_j \not\subset D(i)$  if and only if  $n \nmid i\nu_j$ .

The Bogomolov type vanishing theorem gives the following criterion for the vanishing of the irregularity.

**Theorem 1** ([E],[Zu]). *If  $D(i)$  is big for all  $i$ , then  $q(X_n) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $H^0(X_n, \Omega^1)^{\zeta^i} \neq 0$ , then one finds that  $\mathcal{L}^{(i)} \hookrightarrow \Omega^1(\log D(i))$ , which is impossible if  $D(i)$  is big, since  $D(i) \in |(\mathcal{L}^{(i)})^{\otimes n}|$ .

Since  $q(X) = p_g(X) + 1 - \chi(\mathcal{O})$ , one gets the irregularity  $q(X_n)$  if one knows  $p_g(X_n)$  and  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{X_n})$ .

**Proposition 4.**

$$H^0(X_n, \Omega^2)^{\zeta^i} \cong H^0(S, \Omega^2(\log D(i)) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{(i)^{-1}}).$$

On the other hand, one has the following formula for the term  $\chi(\mathcal{O})$ .

**Proposition 5.**

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{X_n}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(-(ie - \sum[i\nu_j/n]d_j))) - \dim R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{X_n}.$$

*Proof.* Taking the direct image sheaf, we see that

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{X_n}) = \chi((\psi \circ \phi)_*\mathcal{O}_{X_n}) - \dim R^1(\psi \circ \phi)_*\mathcal{O}_{X_n}.$$

We have

$$(\psi \circ \phi)_*\mathcal{O}_{X_n} \cong \psi_*(\mathcal{L}^{(i)^{-1}}) \cong \mathcal{O}(-(ie - \sum[i\nu_j/n]d_j)),$$

and

$$\dim R^1(\psi \circ \phi)_*\mathcal{O}_{X_n} = \dim R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{X_n}.$$

**Problem.** *Discuss those line arrangements  $C$  such that  $X_n$  has positive irregularity for some  $n$ .*

### 3 Albanese map

Let  $X_n$  be a non-singular model of a cyclic multiple plane as defined in Introduction. We denote by  $G$  the cyclic group  $\mathbf{Z}_n$  and let  $\sigma$  be its generator. Suppose  $q(X_n) > 0$ . We have the Albanese map  $\alpha : X_n \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X_n)$ . The group  $G$  acts on  $X_n$  and naturally on  $\text{Alb}(X_n)$ .

**Proposition 6.** *If the Albanese image  $\alpha(X_n)$  is a curve, then  $X_n$  factors through a pencil.*

*Proof.* Set  $\Gamma = \alpha(X_n)$ . The group also acts on  $\Gamma$ . We infer that  $\Gamma/G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{P}^1$ , because there exists a rational map from  $\mathbf{P}^2$  onto it.

**Proposition 7.** *Suppose that there exist two linearly independent holomorphic one forms  $\omega, \omega'$  such that  $\sigma^*\omega = \lambda\omega$ ,  $\sigma^*\omega' = \lambda^{-1}\omega'$  for some  $\lambda$ . Then the Albanese image  $\alpha(X_n)$  is a curve.*

*Proof.* By hypothesis, we find that  $\sigma^*(\omega \wedge \omega') = \omega \wedge \omega'$ . So  $\omega \wedge \omega'$  must be a pull-back of a holomorphic 2-form on  $\mathbf{P}^2$ , hence  $\omega \wedge \omega' = 0$ . The assertion follows from the Castelnuovo-de Franchis theorem.

**Proposition 8.** *Suppose that there exists an  $n$ -th root of unity  $\lambda$  ( $\lambda \neq \pm 1$ ) such that  $\sigma^*\omega = \lambda\omega$  for all  $\omega \in H^0(X_n, \Omega^1)$ . Then  $\lambda$  can take one of the values  $\pm i, \pm \rho, \pm \rho^2$  where  $\rho = e^{2\pi i/3}$ . Furthermore,*

$$\text{Alb}(X_n) \cong E_\lambda^q,$$

where  $E_\lambda$  is the elliptic curve  $\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}\lambda$ .

*Proof.* Cf. Comessatti [C].

**Theorem 2 (de Franchis [dF]).** *If  $q(X_2) > 0$ , then  $X_2$  factors through a pencil.*

*Proof.* In case  $n = 2$ , one must have  $\sigma^*\omega = -\omega$  for all  $\omega \in H^0(X_n, \Omega^1)$ . So the assertion follows from Propositions 6 and 7.

**Theorem 3 (Comessatti [C]).** *If  $q(X_3) > 0$  and if the Albanese image of  $X_3$  is a surface, then  $\text{Alb}(X_3) \cong E_\rho^q$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Propositions 7 and 8.

We can prove this type of results for the cases  $n = 4, 6$ , which were also proved by Catanese and Ciliberto [CC].

**Theorem 4.** *If  $q(X_4) > 0$ , then either  $X_4$  factors through a pencil, or  $\text{Alb}(X_4) \cong E_i^q$ .*

*Proof.* If  $H^0(X_4, \Omega^1)^{(-1)} \neq 0$ , then the surface:  $x_3^2 = x_0^{m_0} \tilde{f}$  factors through a pencil, so does  $X_4$ . In case  $H^0(X_4, \Omega^1)^{(-1)} = 0$ , by Propositions 7 and 8, we see that either  $X_4$  factors through a pencil or  $\text{Alb}(X_4) \cong E_i^q$ .

**Example.**  $z^4 = (y^2 - 2x^3)x^2(x^2 + 1)^2(y + 2x)$ . In this case,  $X_4 \cong E_i^2$ .

## 4 Alexander polynomials

Set

$$U = \mathbf{C}^2 \setminus \{f = 0\} = \mathbf{P}^2 \setminus C \cup L.$$

Write  $U_n = \varphi^{-1}(U) \subset X_n$ . We see that  $\varphi : U_n \rightarrow U$  is an unramified covering of degree  $n$ . We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_n & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathbf{C}^* & \ni z \rightarrow z^n \in & \mathbf{C}^* \end{array}$$

The idea of the topological approach is to calculate the first Betti number of  $X_n$  through that of  $U_n$ . Namely, we write:

$$b_1(X_n) = b_1(U_n) - B.C.$$

The term  $B.C.$  (the boundary contribution) is given by the following:

**Proposition 9.** *We have*

$$B.C. = \#\{\text{the irreducible components of } \varphi^{-1}(C \cup L)\} - 1.$$

This follows from the following:

**Proposition 10.** *Let  $S$  be a smooth projective surface and let  $D = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_n$  be a divisor having simple normal crossings. Then*

$$b_1(S) = b_1(S \setminus D) - (n - \rho(D)),$$

where  $\rho(D) = \dim \{\sum \mathbf{R}[D_i]\}$  in  $NS(S) \otimes \mathbf{R}$ .

*Proof (Esnault [E]), cf. [He]). One can deduce this from the Residue sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(S, \Omega^1) \rightarrow H^0(S, \Omega^1(\log D)) \rightarrow H^0(\hat{D}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^1(S, \Omega^1)$$

**Corollary.**  $B.C. \geq r$ .

**Example.** If  $f$  is reduced and if  $L$  meets  $C$  transversally, then  $B.C. = r$ . Cf. [L].

One can construct an infinite cyclic covering  $\tilde{U}$  of  $U$  as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{U} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & U \\ f_\infty \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathbf{C} & \ni \tau \rightarrow e^{2\pi i \tau} \in & \mathbf{C}^* \end{array}$$

It is well known that  $H_1(U, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}^r$ , which is generated by the meridian loops  $\gamma_i$  around  $C_i$ . The map  $f_* : \pi_1(U) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbf{C}^*) = \mathbf{Z}$  factors through  $H_1(U, \mathbf{Z})$  and it sends

$[\gamma_1]^{s_1} \cdots [\gamma_r]^{s_r}$  to  $\sum m_i s_i$ . It turns out that  $\tilde{U}$  is nothing but the quotient of the universal covering of  $U$  by the kernel of the above homomorphism.

Let  $T$  be the deck transformation on  $\tilde{U}$  corresponding to the above infinite cyclic covering. The transformation  $T$  induces a linear transformation  $T_* : H_1(\tilde{U}) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{U})$ . We have the exact sequences ([M2]):

$$\longrightarrow H_1(\tilde{U}) \xrightarrow{T_* - I} H_1(\tilde{U}) \longrightarrow H_1(U) \longrightarrow$$

Since  $H_1(U, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}^r$ , we infer that the sequence:

$$H_1(\tilde{U}, \mathbf{Z})_0 \xrightarrow{T_* - I} H_1(\tilde{U}, \mathbf{Z})_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{r-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

is exact, where  $H_1(\tilde{U}, \mathbf{Z})_0 = H_1(\tilde{U}, \mathbf{Z})/\text{Tor}$ .

**Definition.** Under the assumption that  $H_1(\tilde{U}, \mathbf{C})$  is finite dimensional, the *Alexander polynomial* of  $f$  is defined as follows (cf. [L]):

$$\Delta_f(t) = \det(tI - T_*).$$

Since  $T_*$  is defined on  $H_1(\tilde{U}, \mathbf{Z})_0$ , we infer that  $\Delta_f(t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ . It follows from (1) that  $\Delta_f(t) = (t-1)^{(r-1)} \cdot G(t)$  but  $G(1) \neq 0$ .

**Example.** Suppose that  $f(x, y)$  is weighted homogeneous. Let  $(a, b)$  be the weights of  $(x, y)$  and let  $N$  be the degree of  $f$  as a weighted homogeneous polynomial. Then  $U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$  is a fibre bundle, of which fibre is  $F = \{(x, y) | f(x, y) = 1\}$ . Set  $\xi = e^{2\pi i/N}$ . Let  $h : F \ni (x, y) \rightarrow (\xi^a x, \xi^b y) \in F$  be the monodromy map and we denote by  $h_*$  the induced linear map on  $H_1(F, \mathbf{C})$ . In this case,  $H_1(\tilde{U}) \cong H_1(F)$  and  $\Delta_f(t) = \det(tI - h_*)$ . Clearly, the origin  $p$  is the only singularity of the affine curve  $f = 0$  and  $\det(tI - h_*)$  is known to be the local Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_p(t)$  of  $p$  [M1].

**Definition.** In case  $N = \dim H_1(\tilde{U}, \mathbf{C}) < \infty$ , let  $e_j(t)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , be the elementary divisors of  $tI - T_*$ . Set

$$N(n, T_*) = \sum \#\{\text{distinct } n\text{-th roots of unity which are roots of } e_j(t)\}.$$

**Theorem 5.** If  $\dim H_1(\tilde{U}, \mathbf{C}) < \infty$ , then

$$2q(X_n) = 1 + N(n, T_*) - B.C..$$

*Proof.* We have the following exact sequence (cf. [SS]):

$$\longrightarrow H_1(\tilde{U}) \xrightarrow{T_*^n - I} H_1(\tilde{U}) \longrightarrow H_1(U_n) \longrightarrow .$$

We infer from this that  $b_1(U_n) = 1 + \dim \text{Ker } (T_*^n - I)$ . We see easily that  $N(n, T_*) = \dim \text{Ker } (T_*^n - I)$ .

**Corollary.** If  $T_*$  is of finite order, then

$$2q(X_n) = 1 + \#\{n\text{-th roots of unity which are roots of } \Delta_f(t)\} - B.C.$$

**Definition.** We say that  $f$  is *primitive* if the general fibre  $f^{-1}(a)$  is irreducible. It is well known that if  $f$  is not primitive, then there are polynomials  $u$  and  $g$  such that  $f(x, y) = u(g(x, y))$ . Cf. [Su].

**Remark.** Suppose that  $r \geq 2$ . If  $f$  is not primitive, then (i)  $X_n$  factors through a pencil, (ii) the infinite line  $L$  does not meet  $C$  transversely.

**Proposition 11.** The vector space  $H_1(\tilde{U}, \mathbf{C})$  is finite dimensional if and only if either (i)  $r = 1$ , or (ii)  $r \geq 2$ ,  $f$  is primitive.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  is primitive. The general fibre of the fibration  $f_\infty : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is irreducible. By Lemma 7 in [Su], we see that  $\dim H_1(\tilde{U}, \mathbf{C}) \leq \dim H_1(\text{a general fibre}, \mathbf{C}) < \infty$ . Note that  $f_\infty^{-1}(\tau) = f^{-1}(e^{2\pi i \tau})$ . Assume now that  $f$  is not primitive. Writing  $f = u(g)$  as above, we set  $u^{-1}(0) = \{a_1, \dots, a_s\}$ . Define  $V = \mathbf{C} \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_s\}$ . We have the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{U} & \rightarrow & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ \tilde{V} & \rightarrow & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow u \\ \mathbf{C} & \rightarrow & \mathbf{C}^* \end{array}$$

If  $s \geq 2$ , it is easy to prove that  $\dim H_1(\tilde{V}, \mathbf{C}) = \infty$ . It follows that  $\dim H_1(\tilde{U}, \mathbf{C}) = \infty$ . If  $s = 1$ , then  $\tilde{V} = \mathbf{C}$  and so  $\dim H_1(\tilde{U}, \mathbf{C}) < \infty$ .

**Remark.** In case  $r = 1$ , this fact was pointed out in [L].

Now we come to Zariski's result.

**Theorem 6 (Zariski [Z1]).** Suppose  $r = 1$ . If  $n = p^a$  ( $p$  is a prime number), then  $q(X_n) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $r = 1$ , we infer from (1) that  $\Delta_f(1) = \det(I - \tilde{h}_*) = \pm 1$ . If a primitive  $p^i$ -th root of unity ( $1 \leq i \leq a$ ) is a root of the integral polynomial  $\Delta_f(t)$ , then  $\Delta_f(t)$  must be divided by the cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_{p^i}(t)$ . Since  $\Phi_{p^i}(1) = p$ , this is impossible.

We can generalize this result to the case in which  $C$  is reducible.

**Theorem 7.** Suppose  $r \geq 2$ . Assume that  $f$  is primitive or that  $n|d$ . If  $n = p^a$  ( $p$  is a prime number), then

$$2q(X_n) \leq (n-1)(r-1).$$

*Proof.* Assume first that  $f$  is primitive. By Proposition 11,  $N = \dim H_1(\tilde{U}, \mathbf{C}) < \infty$ . Let  $d_j(t)$  (resp.  $d_j$ ) be the GCD of all  $j$ -minors of the matrix  $tI - T_*$  (resp.  $I - T_*$ ). By the



exact sequence (1), we see that the elementary divisors of  $I - T_*$  are  $1, \dots, 1, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{r-1}$ . We infer that  $d_j = 1$  for  $j \leq N - (r - 1)$  and  $d_j = 0$  for  $j > N - (r - 1)$ . Since  $d_j(1) | d_j$ , we find that  $d_j(1) = \pm 1$  for  $j \leq N - (r - 1)$  and  $d_j(1) = 0$  for  $j > N - (r - 1)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 6, any primitive  $p^i$ -th root of unity other than 1 cannot be a root of  $d_j(t)$  for  $j \leq N - (r - 1)$ . Let  $e_1(t), \dots, e_N(t)$  be the elementary divisors of  $tI - T_*$ . We know that  $d_j(t) = b_j e_1(t) \cdots e_j(t)$ ,  $b_j \in \mathbf{Q}$ . Thus any primitive  $p^i$ -th root of unity other than 1 cannot be a root of  $e_j(t)$  for  $j \leq N - (r - 1)$ . It follows that  $N(n, T_*) \leq n(r - 1)$ . Since  $B.C. \geq r$ , we conclude that  $b_1(X_n) \leq (n - 1)(r - 1)$ .

In case  $n|d$ , since the infinite line  $L$  does not appear in the branch locus of  $X_n \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ , by taking a suitable line as the infinite line, we may assume that  $f$  is primitive.

**Corollary.** *If  $n = 2$ ,  $r = 2$  and  $d$  is even, then  $q(X_2) = 0$ .*

**Definition.** Set  $\tilde{F} = \{(x_0, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbf{C}^3 | \tilde{f}(x_0, x_1, x_2) = 1\}$ . Since  $\tilde{f}$  is homogeneous,  $\tilde{f} : \mathbf{C}^3 \setminus \{\tilde{f} = 0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$  is a fibre bundle. The typical fibre is  $\tilde{F}$ . Letting  $\eta = e^{2\pi i/d}$ , we have the monodromy transformation  $\tilde{h} : \tilde{F} \ni (x_0, x_1, x_2) \rightarrow (\eta x_0, \eta x_1, \eta x_2) \in \tilde{F}$ . It induces a linear transformation  $\tilde{h}_* : H_1(\tilde{F}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{F}, \mathbf{Z})$ . Define

$$\Delta_C(t) = \det(tI - \tilde{h}_*) \in \mathbf{Z}[t],$$

which is called the *Alexander polynomial* of the plane curve  $C$ . Cf. [R], [D].

**Proposition 12.** *Under the assumption that the infinite line  $L$  is in a general position, we have the equality:  $\Delta_f(t) = \Delta_C(t)$ .*

*Proof.* Cf. [R], [D]. We see that  $U \cong (\mathbf{C}^3 \setminus \{\tilde{f} = 0\}) \cap \{x_0 = 1\}$ . The affine version of the Lefschetz theorem ([H]) asserts that  $\pi_1(\mathbf{C}^3 \setminus \{\tilde{f} = 0\}) \rightarrow \pi_1(U)$  is an isomorphism. It follows that  $H_1(\tilde{U}, \mathbf{Z}) \cong H_1(\tilde{F}, \mathbf{Z})$ . Furthermore, the transformation  $T_*$  corresponds to  $\tilde{h}_*$ . Q.E.D.

**Theorem 8.** *Assume that  $L$  is in a general position. We have*

$$2q(X_n) = 1 + \#\{n\text{-th roots of unity which are roots of } \Delta_C(t)\} - B.C.$$

**Corollary.** *Under the same hypothesis, if  $GCD(n, d) = 1$ , then  $q(X_n) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By hypothesis, we find that  $b_1(U_n) = r - 1$  and  $B.C. = r$ .

We quote two divisibility theorems of the Alexander polynomials. See also [Ko], [LV].

**Theorem 9 (Libgober [L]).** *Suppose  $f$  is irreducible. Then*

$$\Delta_f(t) \mid \prod_{\tilde{p}} \Delta_{\tilde{p}}(t),$$

where  $\tilde{p}$  moves all local branches of  $\text{Sing}(C \cup L)$ .

**Theorem 10 (Dimca [D]).** *Suppose  $f$  is reduced. Then*

$$\Delta_C(t) \mid \prod_{p \in \text{Sing}(C)} \tilde{\Delta}_p(t),$$

where  $\tilde{\Delta}_p(t)$  is the reduced local Alexander polynomial of  $p$ .

**Corollary (Zariski [Z2]).** *Suppose  $L$  is in a general position. If  $C$  has only nodes and ordinary cusps as its singularities, then  $q(X_n) = 0$  unless  $6 \mid n$  and  $6 \mid d$ .*

*Proof.* We know that  $\Delta_p(t) = t - 1$  if  $p$  is a node,  $= t^2 - t + 1$  if  $p$  is an ordinary cusp. Thus  $\Delta_C(t) = (t - 1)^{r-1}(t^2 - t + 1)^\ell$  for some  $\ell$ . In view of Theorem 8, the assertion follows from this.

**Remark.** The assumption that  $L$  is in a general position is necessary in the above result. Let us consider the case:  $f = (x + y)(x + y + 1)$ . In this case, we find that  $q(X_3) = 1$ .

## References

- [CC] Catanese, F. and Ciliberto, C.: On the irregularity of cyclic coverings of algebraic surfaces. Preprint (1992)
- [C] Comessatti, A.: Sui piani tripli ciclici irregolari. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **31**, 293–310 (1911)
- [dF] de Franchis, M.: I piani doppi dotati di due o più differenziali totali di prima specie. Rend. Accad. Lincei **13**, 688–695 (1904)
- [D] Dimca, A.: Alexander polynomials for projective hypersurfaces. Preprint (1989)
- [E] Esnault, H.: Fibre de Milnor d'un cône sur une courbe plane singulière. Invent. Math. **68**, 477–496 (1982)
- [H] Hamm, H.: Lefschetz theorems for singular varieties. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **40**, 547–557 (1983)
- [He] Hironaka, E.: Polynomial periodicity for Betti numbers of covering surfaces. Invent. Math. (1992)
- [K] Khashin, S.I.: The irregularity of double surfaces. Math. Notes **33** (1983)
- [Ko] Kohno, T.: An algebraic computation of the Alexander polynomial of a plane algebraic curve. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A **59**, 94–97 (1983)
- [L] Libgober, A.: Alexander polynomial of plane algebraic curves and cyclic multiple planes. Duke Math. J. **49**, 833–851 (1982)
- [LV] Loeser, F. and Vaquié, M.: Le polynôme d'Alexander d'une courbe plane projective. Topology **29**, 163–173 (1989)

- [M1] Milnor, J.: Singular points of complex hypersurfaces. Ann. Math. Studies **61** Princeton Univ. Press (1968)
- [M2] Milnor, J.: Infinite cyclic coverings. Topology of manifolds pp. 115–133 (1968)
- [R] Randell, R.: Milnor fibers and Alexander polynomials of plane curves. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **40**, 415–419 (1983)
- [S] Sakai, F.: Singularities of plane curves. To appear (1992)
- [SS] Shinohara, Y and Sumners, D.W.: Homology invariants of cyclic coverings with applications to links. Trans. A. M. S. **163**, 101–121 (1972)
- [Su] Suzuki, M.: Sur les opérations holomorphes du groupe additif complexe sur l'espace de deux variables complexes. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. **10**, 517–546 (1977)
- [Z1] Zariski, O.: On the linear connection index of the algebraic surfaces  $z^n = f(x, y)$ . Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **15**, 494–501 (1929)
- [Z2] Zariski, O.: On the irregularity of cyclic multiple planes. Ann. of Math. **32**, 485–511 (1931)
- [Zu] Zuo, K.: Kummer-Überlagerungen algebraischer Flächen. Bonner Math. Schrift. **193** (1989)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Saitama University, Urawa, Japan